

**From:** [aschlafly@aol.com](mailto:aschlafly@aol.com) [<mailto:aschlafly@aol.com>]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 24, 2017 11:54 AM  
**To:** [aschlafly@aol.com](mailto:aschlafly@aol.com)  
**Subject:** Gorsuch is NOT pro-life - Supreme Court

Neil Gorsuch has been announced as the leading contender for Justice Scalia's vacancy. ***Neil Gorsuch is NOT pro-life.***

His selection would violate Trump's pledge to nominate a pro-life justice to the Supreme Court. ***Roe v. Wade won't be overturned for 40 years if the 49-year-old Gorsuch is picked.*** That is 40 million more unborn children who will be aborted based on this.

Our pro-life movement has only a few hours or days to object, protest, criticize, and veto the nomination of this pro-choice candidate. Trump floats these trial balloons to see if people object. ***We must strongly object, and please speak out loudly now.***

For starters, Gorsuch has never said or written anything pro-life. I knew him in law school and afterwards, and I've reviewed his opinions and his book. He's written multiple opinions that demonstrate he's ***not*** pro-life.

For example, in the case of *Pino v. U.S.*, Gorsuch discussed whether a 20-week-old "nonviable fetus" had the same rights as a "viable fetus." Gorsuch, showing that he is not pro-life, indicated that his answer is "no" unless the Oklahoma Supreme Court specially found rights for the "nonviable fetus." Rather than render a pro-life ruling, Gorsuch punted this issue to the Oklahoma Supreme Court for it to decide. Gorsuch's approach is similar to the unjust approach based on viability that underlies *Roe v. Wade*.

More information, including how Gorsuch opposes overturning precedent even when it is wrong, is [here](#). He supports special rights for transgenders, too. And he is no Scalia, as Gorsuch was not even on the *Law Review* in law school.

We've been down this road before, with the first President Bush breaking his "read my lips, no new taxes" pledge. It doesn't work for Republican presidents. I spoke last weekend at a large conservative conference in Michigan, a state Trump carried by barely 10,000 votes based on immense efforts by pro-lifers there. That margin disappears if Trump is misled to break his pro-life pledge for the Supreme Court.

Evangelicals spoke out and vetoed Bill Pryor. ***Now it is urgent that pro-lifers speak out immediately and veto Neil Gorsuch.***

Andy Schlafly, Esq.